



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,776	05/09/2001	Michael S. Steele	· ISAA0013	5228
22862	7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
GLENN PATENT GROUP			HAILU, TADESSE	
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/852,776	STEELE ET AL.			
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tadesse Hailu	2173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	tion. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:					
	•				

....

Continuation of 5. ... does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as set forth in the last Office action the references of record teach the claimed invention including "always showing a condition path in said display" as specifed in the claims. Applicant argues that Fig. 1 (the Admittred Prior Art) does not show "...condition path". In contrast to the applicant's argument Fig. 1 does show a condition path (see Fig. 1). Furthermore, the applicant's request to withdraw the finality of the Office action is denied, because the declaration of prior invention was not submitted prior to the first Office action. Thus, the next Office action was made Final.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173